

Melissa Whitlock

From: Rosefield Solar
Subject: Comment-EN010158

From: [REDACTED]

Date: Sat, Feb 28, 2026 at 3:16 pm

Rosefield Solar Farm , Bucks.

I am using this channel since I cannot find another avenue. At [REDACTED] I am unable to manipulate the computer with sufficient skill - please pass on my comments..

1. Setting this solar array up is denying us use of farmland to provide produce to sustain the public - an improper use of the source.
2. Solar collection for energy is very inefficient and there are better methods and more efficient.
3. Solar collection requires the storage of energy. The intended storage is a hideous sight in the countryside and is very suspect in terms of safety. It is known to have a high propensity to conflagration and a distinct history of not responding to water. Highly toxic fumes occur.
4. Although not assessed as a part of a planning process, as I gather, it is reasonable to include a larger , global scene and understand that should this go ahead most of the hardware will be made in China and transported to the UK via highly inefficient ship with diesel engines. The decision to approve or disapprove should be above Planners since the political stance of China leaves much to be desired.